

**KALHD Mid-Year Meeting  
Wichita  
June 17 & 18, 2008**

**Theme:**

Applying Continuous Quality Improvement in Kansas Public Health  
Positioning for Future Accreditation

**Topics:**

*Regional QI Pilot Projects*

West Central Region  
East Central Region  
Wildcat Region

*Regional Operational Definition Pilot Projects*

Northeast Corner Region  
North Central Region

*Opportunities for involvement*

MLC-3 Rollout

*Performance Management*

New KPIs for Preparedness- Exercise Based- Re-do of Track and Trend  
Immunization-??  
MCH--??

*County Commissioner Questions Identified by Regional Workgroup*

*HIPAA Update – Martie Ross, Lathrop & Gage*

Data Security  
Policy Update

*Proposed IT Session Sponsored by PHIST*

Role of state-wide systems in support of QI & Accreditation  
MLC-3 Target Areas Should be known by that time  
NACCHO Regional Planning Target Areas known by that time as well  
**6. Positioning for Accreditation – Incorporating performance measures**  
PHIST recommends that information system projects should, where possible, incorporate performance measures into the design of the information. These measures may need to be identified and can be found in resources such as funding guidance, or they may need to be newly defined

**7. Access to Information:**

PHIST recognizes that one of the most common data analytic features end-users of information systems request is the ability to generate reports. For the reports to be useful, PHIST recommends that end-users should be engaged in the decisions about what reports will ultimately be generated by the information system. Additionally, PHIST recommends that these reports be able to provide data in raw format for which users can download and perform data analysis to run their own reports.